Docket No.: M3653.0001/P0001

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Douglas Clark et al.

Group Art Unit: 2165

Application No.: 09/334,256

Examiner: F. Thompson

Filed: June 16, 1999

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
PLANNING AND MONITORING
MULTIPLE TASKS BASED ON USER
DEFINED CRITERIA AND PREDICTIVE

ABILITY

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE

ATTN: Box AF Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In the Statement of Non-Compliance mailed March 26, 2002, the Examiner correctly noted that appellant's Appeal Brief states Claim 7 stands and falls by itself (page 2, paragraph 2). In response, Applicant wishes to update the Appeal Brief so that Claim 7 be understood to be grouped with claims 1, 8, and 11-21, and not by itself. Applicant regrets the error. This information was verbally communicated to the Examiner in an telephone interview on April 4, 2002. Accompanying this Response is an updated copy of the Appeal Brief with the correct grouping of claims. No alterations to the Appeal Brief were made other than to page 4, lines 1-2, to state the correct grouping of claims. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner is also thanked for including a copy of an Interview Summary of October 24, 2000 (Paper No. 7) in the correspondence mailed March 26, 2002.

1432239 v1; %P4F01!.DOC

Because appellant's Appeal Brief is now in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.192(c), Applicant respectfully requests that the prosecution of the above-referenced application be resumed as soon as possible.

Dated: April 9, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

Chris Tanner

Registration No. 41,518

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW-

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicant

Atty Docket No.: M3653.0001/P001

Inventor: Sandra K. Richardson, et al.

Application No.: 09/334,256

Filing Date: June 16, 1999

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PLANNING AND MONITORING MULTIPLE TASKS

BASED ON USER DEFINED CRITERIA AND PREDICTIVE ABILITY

Documents Filed:

Appellants' Updated Brief on Appeal (25 pages)

Appeal Brief Transmittal (1 page)

Response to Notification of Non-Compliance (2 pages)

Affidavit of Commercial Success Under 37 CFR 1.132 (14 pages) & "e-week" Magazine article (2 pages)

Fee Transmittal (1 page)

Check in the amount of \$130.00

Via: PTO Daily Run

Sender's Initials: JDG/CT/bh

Date: April 9, 2002

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW Washington DC 20037-1526

Nate

Date 04/09/02

Check No. 229690

Description & Invoices That This Check is Written For

CR040902A 04/09/02 130.00 -USPTO Filing Fee -Petition to the Commissioner

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Check Amount Total 130.00

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2002

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 130.00

Co	mplete if Known				
Application Number	09/334,256				
Filing Date	June 16, 1999				
First Named Inventor	Sandra K. Richardson				
Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned				
Group Art Unit	2761				
Attorney Docket No.	M3653.0001/P001				

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)					FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
X Check Credit Money Other None					3. A	DDITIO	ONAL	. FEES				
Dep	osit Accou	nt			١.							
Deposit 04.4072				Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fe								
Account Number	04-1073			Code	(\$)	Code	(\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid			
Deposit	Dic	ketair	Shapiro Morin &	= :	105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath			
Account	Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP			1				Surcharge – late provisional filing fee or cover				
Name USITITISKY LLF The Commissioner is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)					127	50	227	25	sheet.			
Charge fee(s) indicated below X Credit any overpayments				139	130	139	130	Non-English specification				
Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this				147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination				
application				l	•		•	Requesting publication of SIR prior to				
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee				112	920*	112	920°	Examiner action				
to the above-identified deposit account.					113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action			
		FEE C	CALCULATION	<u></u>	115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month			
1. BASIC			**************************************		116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month			
Large Enti		Entity			117	920	217	460	Extension for reply within third month			
Fee Fe		Fee	- <u>Fee Description</u>	Fee Paid	118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply within fourth month			
Code (\$		(\$) 370	Utility filing fee	Fee Paid	128	1,960	228	980	Extension for reply within fifth month			
106 33		165	Design filing fee		119	320	219	160	Notice of Appeal			
107 51	0 207	255	Plant filing fee		120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal			
108 74	0 208	370	Reissue filing fee		121	280	221	140	Request for oral hearing			
114 16	0 214	80	Provisional filing fee		138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	ļ		
=	•	611	JBTOTAL (1) (\$)	0.00	140	110	240	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable			
		30	BIOTAL (I)	0.00	141	1,280	241	640	Petition to revive - unintentional			
2. EXTR	A CLAIN	1 FEE	S FOR UTILITY AND	REISSUE	142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or reissue)			
			Extra Fee from Claims below	Fee Paid	143	460	243	230	Design issue fee			
Total Claim	is T	- ** =		=	144	620	244	310	Plant issue fee			
Independer	nt 🔚	.** =		-	122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	130.00		
Claims Multiple De	nendent				123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)			
	•	r_4:4.			126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt			
Large Enti		Fee	Fee Description	nn .	581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per			
Code (\$	-	(\$)		<u> </u>					property (times number of properties) Filing a submission after final rejection	—		
103 18			Claims in excess of 20 Independent claims in exc	ess of 3	146	740	246	370	(37 ČFR 1.129(a))			
102 84			Multiple dependent claim,	-	149	740	249	370	For each additional invention to be examined (37CFR 1.129(b))			
109 84			** Reissue independent cl		179	740	279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)			
			over original patent		169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination			
110 1	8 210	9	** Reissue claims in excer and over original paten			fee (spe	1		of a design application			
	l						•		e Paid SUBTOTAL (3 (\$)	130.00		
***************************************	har provise		BTOTAL (2) (\$) d, if greater; For Reissues,	0.00	Red	ucea by	Dasic	Filing Fe	eraid SUBTUTAL (3)	130.00		
or numb	Jei previou	siy pall	, " greater, i ur Neissues,	220 02070					Complete (if anglicable)			

SUBMITTED BY		Complete (if applicable)			
Name (Print/Type)	Jon D. Grossman	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	32,699	Telephone	(202) 785-9700
Signature		·		Date	April 9, 2002